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The C-2 methylated $2 S, 3 R$ and $2 R, 3 S$ side chains of docetaxel have been enantioselectively prepared and esterified with protected 10 -deacetylbaccatin III to provide novel analogues of docetaxel.

Paclitaxel (taxol), a complex diterpene from Taxus brevifolia, and its semi-synthetic derivative docetaxel (Taxotere) show outstanding activity with various types of cancer. ${ }^{1}$ Paclitaxel has already been approved for the treatment of metastatic carcinoma of the ovary and docetaxel, which has been reported to be more active than paclitaxel in certain human-derived cancer cell-line assays and preclinical trials, ${ }^{1 g}$ is currently in phase II in Europe and the USA. The major importance of these antineoplastic agents has already occasioned the total synthesis and partial synthesis of numerous structural and stereochemical analogues in the hope of discovering derivatives that are even more effective. ${ }^{1.2}$



The myriad side chain-modified analogues that have been prepared and evaluated to date allow certain generalizations to be made as to the relative impact on activity of changes in stereochemistry, functional groups, and aliphatic/aromatic substituents. Particularly sensitive to change appears to be the C-2' position: the hydroxy or a latent hydroxy group must be present (deoxy analogues are $c a .12$ times less cytotoxic than paclitaxel) and the C-2' configuration should be the natural one $(R)$ for maximum activity. ${ }^{1 j, k}$ Given the key nature of the C-2' position, it is somewhat surprising that no $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$-alkylated analogues have yet been reported. In fact, more generally, it appears that no alkylated paclitaxel or docetaxel derivatives have been prepared to date. In this paper we describe the enantioselective synthesis of the C-2 methylated $2 S, 3 R$ and $2 R, 3 S$ side chains of docetaxel and their esterification with protected 10-deacetylbaccatin III to provide the first such analogues ( $\mathbf{1}$ and 2, respectively).

Of the various possible approaches to the enantiopure methylated side chain, the vicinal amino alcohol preparation through imine-ketone (or aldehyde) coupling, mediated by


2
$\mathrm{NbCl}_{3}$, seemed to hold particular promise [eqn. (1)]. ${ }^{3}$ This novel reaction, disclosed by Roskamp and Pedersen in 1987, was shown by these authors to be especially effective with $N$ benzylidenebenzylamine and ketones, including ethyl pyruvate. Furthermore, a syn-selectivity (with aldehydes) was reported that ranged from 3:1 ( $p$-acetoxybenzaldehyde) to $83: 1$ (pivalaldehyde). With this background and the expectation that the previously noted syn-selectivity with aldehydes might also hold for pyruvates, $\dagger$ we felt an enantioselective approach to this coupling through the use of a chiral amine as a control element worth examining as a possible means to the desired side chain.


1-Phenylethylamine, commercially available and inexpensive in both enantiomeric forms, was the obvious first choice and, arbitrarily, the $S$-enantiomer was selected. Condensation of this amine with benzaldehyde in the presence of anhydrous magnesium sulfate ${ }^{3}$ in dichloromethane readily provided the corresponding $N$-benzylidene-1-phenylethylamine 3 . To our considerable satisfaction, it was found that addition of this imine to a stirred suspension of niobium(III) chloride in THF at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed 0.5 h later at -15 to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by methyl pyruvate

[^0]indeed produced a syn-diastereoisomer selectively (syn:anti, ca. $9: 1 ;$ syn:syn, ca. $4: 1$; combined yield, $68 \% \ddagger$ ). Fortunately, the highly difficult silica gel separation of diastereoisomers could be avoided by recrystallization of the mixture from hexane, which efficiently provided the major diastereoisomer pure in $52 \%$ yield from the mixture ( $35 \%$ based on methyl pyruvate). As luck would have it, however, a single-crystal X-ray analysis of $\mathbf{4 a}$ revealed the absolute stereochemistry to be $2 S, 3 R$, gratifyingly syn, but enantiomeric with what was thought more highly desirable for correspondence with docetaxel.§
Repetition of the above starting from the antipodal amine, ( $R$ )-1-phenylethylamine, led analogously as expected to the pure $2 R, 3 S$ isomer $\mathbf{4 b}$. The enantiomers $4 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ were then efficiently converted in one pot into the corresponding methylated docetaxel side chain esters 5a and 5b through hydrogenation in the presence of Pearlman's catalyst ${ }^{4}$ $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]$, followed by treatment with di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( 93 and $81 \%$, respectively). Thus, the enantiomeric sidechains can readily be secured in stereochemically pure form in only three operations.
The success previously encountered with $p$-methoxybenzylidene side chain protection ${ }^{5}$ led us initially to transform 5a and 5b to the corresponding $p$-methoxybenzylidene-protected compounds [ $p$-anisaldehyde dimethyl acetal, pyridinium toluene- $p$-sulfonate (PTS), toluene, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h},>90 \%$ ] in anticipation of the subsequent esterification. Ominously, however, even these methyl esters displayed a reluctance to undergo deprotection under acidic conditions. Therefore, in order to enhance the likelihood of successful deprotection at what was envisaged as the penultimate stage of the synthesis, the considerably more acid labile 2,4 -dimethoxybenzylidene protecting group was selected. ${ }^{5 a}$ Conversion of $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$ into the corresponding 2,4 -dimethoxyphenyl-substituted oxazolidines $6 a$ and $6 b$ (1.5:1 epimeric mixtures) could be achieved in better than $90 \%$ yield with 2,4 -dimethoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal and PTS in refluxing toluene. Hydrolysis of these derivatives with lithium hydroxide in methanol was uneventful and efficiently furnished the protected, esterification-ready free acids $7 \mathbf{a}$ and 7 b (1.5:1 epimeric mixtures), each in enantiopure form.
Because of the considerable encumbrance in the vicinity of the carboxy group in $7 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{7 b}$, there was concern that the key esterification reaction with the 7,10-bis(trichloroethoxycarbonyl) derivative of 10 -deacetylbaccatin III ${ }^{6}$ might be even more challenging than usual. Although the dicyclohexylcarbodi-imide-mediated reaction did, in fact, fail to produce any of the desired ester (competitive formation of the $N$-acylurea ${ }^{7}$ ), fortunately the di-2-pyridyl carbonate variant ${ }^{8}$ was successful and furnished the protected docetaxel derivatives $8 \mathbf{a}$ and 8 b in 72 and $40 \%$ yields, respectively. Interestingly, in each of these esterifications the minor diastereoisomer of the 1.5:1 mixture appeared to react appreciably faster than the major one.

In that dimethoxybenzylidene deprotection of 8a could not be realized without the simultaneous loss of the tertbutoxycarbonyl group, ${ }^{9}$ the preparation of the desired ester 9 a necessitated an additional step to regenerate the carbamate
$\ddagger$ The combined yield is that obtained after filtration (without diastereoisomer separation) of the crude mixture over silica gel. The syn: anti ratio was determined by high-yield conversion (see text) of the mixture to the separable syn- and anti-side chain methyl esters; the syn:syn ratio was established by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the syn-ester in the presence of tris-[3-(heptafluoropropylhydroxymethylene)-( + )camphorato]europium(III).
§ In that the absolute stereochemistry of the starting $N$-benzylidene-1phenylethylamine was known, the absolute stereochemistry at the C-2 and C-3 asymmetric centres in 4a was automatically established by the X-ray analysis. Details of the X-ray determination will be published elsewhere.


Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, $\mathrm{NbCl}_{3}$, THF; methyl pyruvate; recrystallization from hexane; ii, $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{MeOH} ; \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; iii, 2,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal, PTS, PhMe; iv, $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$


Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, di-2-pyridyl carbonate, DMAP, PhMe; ii, $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$; $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; iii, camphorsulfonic acid, MeOH ; iv, $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$
function. In the natural $2 R, 3 S$ series, however, it was found that camphorsulfonic acid in methanol at ambient temperature
smoothly effected the required transformation to give $9 \mathbf{b}$ directly in $72 \%$ yield ( $86 \%$ based on recovered $\mathbf{8 b}$ ). The carefully chosen dimethoxybenzylidene protecting group thus nicely fulfilled its intended purpose, particularly in the latter series. The final transformation, the concomitant deprotection of the C-7 and C-10 hydroxy groups, was accomplished uneventfully with zinc-copper couple in acetic acid-methanol ${ }^{6}$ to provide in excellent yield the first C - $2^{\prime}$-alkylated paclitaxel/docetaxel derivatives, $\left(2^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R\right)$ - and ( $2^{\prime} R, 3^{\prime} S$ )- $2^{\prime}$-methyldocetaxel.

The $2^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R$ derivative showed no significant cytotoxicity (P388: $\quad \mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-3}$ ) nor inhibitory activity in microtubule depolymerization ( $>100 \mathrm{~T}$ ). In marked contrast, however, were the results obtained with ( $\left.2^{\prime} R, 3^{\prime} S\right)$-2'-methyldocetaxel: cytotoxicity (KB-VI) and inhibitory activity in microtubule depolymerization were each significantly greater than that of docetaxel. A direction for future work is thus clearly indicated.

## Experimental

Tetrahydrofuran, toluene, dimethoxyethane and diethyl ether (referred to as ether) were distilled from sodium-benzophenone and dichloromethane and triethylamine were distilled from calcium hydride. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on Merck $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}(0.2 \mathrm{~mm})$ sheets, which were visualized with molybdophosphoric acid in ethanol. Merck $70-230$ silica gel 60 was employed for column chromatography. A Perkin-Elmer 397 spectrophotometer was used to record IR spectra (neat or as Nujol films). Bruker WPSY 80, AC 200, and AM 300 spectrometers were used for the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions). $J$ values are quoted in Hz . Mass spectra were obtained on an AEl MS-30 mass spectrometer ( 70 eV , direct insert probe). Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter; $[\alpha]_{D}$ values are given in units of $10^{-1} \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$. Mps were obtained with a Buchi-Tottoli apparatus and are uncorrected. Microanalysis were performed by the Central Service of the CNRS.

## $\boldsymbol{N}$-Benzylidene-1-phenylethylamine $3{ }^{10}$

To a solution of benzaldehyde ( $4.10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 40.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane $\left(60 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added $(S)-(-)$-1-phenylethylamine ( $5.20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 40.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After the mixture had been stirred for 15 min , an excess of anhydrous magnesium sulfate was added to it and stirring was continued for an additional 5.5 h . The mixture was then filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. Distillation of the residue provided the imine $(S)-3$ $(6.16 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%)$, bp $88^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.05 \mathrm{mmHg}) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+73\left(c 2.3, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; $v_{\max }($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3060,3050,3010,2960,2910,2850,2825,1950$, $1880,1810,1750,1640,1600,1580,1490,1450,1380,1310$, $1300,1290,1270,1210,1190,1160,1150,1110,1100,1080$, $1060,1030,1015,1010,960$ and $900 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.59(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.6), 4.54$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.6$ ), $7.19-7.46(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.74-7.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and 8.37 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ). The imine $(R)-3$, prepared analogously ( $77 \%$ yield), showed identical properties except for the sign of the optical rotation.

## Methyl 2-hydroxy-2-methyl-3-phenyl-3-(1-phenylethylamino)propanoate 4

To a stirred suspension of niobium(III) chloride-DME complex ${ }^{3}$ ( $435 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( $17 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was added dropwise the imine $(S)-3(313 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(6.5$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ). After 0.5 h , the mixture was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated over 1 min with methyl pyruvate $\left(91 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}, 103 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at -15 to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for 2 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after which aq. potassium hydroxide ( $10 \%$ ) was added to it. The crude product was isolated with ether in the usual manner and filtered over silica gel with $10 \%$ ether-hexane
to give a mixture of diastereoisomers ( $213 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ). Recrystallization of the mixture from hexane afforded pure $2 S, 3 R$-isomer 4 a $(110 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ from the mixture or $35 \%$ based on methyl pyruvate), $\mathrm{mp} 99-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-119$ (c 0.8, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $v_{\max }($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3480,3060,3050,3010,2960,2950$, $2910,2850,1730,1590,1580,1480,1450,1430,1360,1250$, $1210,1195,1160,1110,1060,1020,980,950,910,900,820,770$, 750 and $690 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.19(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.6), 2.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$, $3.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.6), 3.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ and $7.12-7.39(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 23.9,25.3,52.8,54.4,64.6,77.4,126.9$, $127.2,127.5,128.0,128.2,129.0,138.8,145.4$ and $177.6 ; m / z 314$ $\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right), 210,124$ and 110 (Found: C, $72.75 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.5 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.3$. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 72.82 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.40 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.47$ ). The $2 R, 3 S$-isomer 4b, prepared similarly ( $19 \%$ yield based on methyl pyruvate), showed identical properties except for the sign of the optical rotation.

## Methyl 3-(tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-2-hydroxy-2-methyl-3phenylpropanoate 5

To a solution of the amino alcohol $\mathbf{4 a}(360 \mathrm{mg}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol-acetic acid ( $50: 1 ; 7.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added palladium hydroxide ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ ) and the resulting mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a hydrogen atmosphere for 17 h . The hydrogen was then replaced with argon and triethylamine $\left(1.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 7.2\right.$ mmol ) and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $488 \mathrm{mg}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to the mixture. After being stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h , the mixture was processed with dichloromethane in the usual way and the crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography with $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane to afford the pure $2 S, 3 R-$ isomer 5a ( $330 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ) , mp $187-188^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+6(c 0.5$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; v_{\text {max }}($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3500,3380,2970,1730,1685,1520$, $1360,1245,1165,1115,1040,1015$ and $885 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.38$ $(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.51(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s), $3.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.95(1 \mathrm{H}$, deformed d, $J$ 10.0 ), $5.45(1 \mathrm{H}$, deformed d, $J 9.0)$ and $7.28-7.35(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; m / z$ $310\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right.$), 271, 254, 210, 193, 150 and 105 (Found: C, 62.2; H, 7.6 ; N, 4.2. Calc. for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 62.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.49 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.53\right)$. The $2 R, 3 S$-isomer $5 \mathbf{b}$, prepared analogously ( $81 \%$ yield), showed identical properties except for the sign of the optical rotation.

## Methyl 3-tert-butoxycarbonyl-2-(2,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-4-phenyloxazolidine-5-carboxylate 6

A mixture of compound $5 \mathbf{a}(855 \mathrm{mg}, 2.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2,4-$ dimethoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal \| ( $1.18 \mathrm{~g}, 5.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $\left(28 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right.$ ) was heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , after which pyridinium toluene- $p$-sulfonate ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to it and heating was continued for an additional 45 min . After being allowed to cool, the reaction mixture was processed with dichloromethane in the usual way and the crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography with $10-20 \%$ ether in hexane to give the oxazolidine $6 \mathrm{a}(1.22 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as a white solid, $\operatorname{mp} 54-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-7\left(c 1.3, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; v_{\max }($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2980$, $2950,2920,2900,2810,1730,1700,1610,1590,1500,1450$, $1430,1390,1360,1300,1260,1230,1205,1140,1120,1090$, $1035,935,830,760,730$ and $600 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ major diastereoisomer [2 rotamers, 4 (major): 1 (minor)]: $1.01(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.09(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.25$ (major) and 3.36 (minor) ( $3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}$ ), $3.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), 5.62 (major) and 5.76 (minor) $(1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}), 6.39-6.50(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 6.69$ (minor) and 6.84 (major) $(1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}), 7.01-7.05(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m})$ and $7.20-7.40(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; minor diastereoisomer: $1.14(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.22(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.45(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.44-6.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $7.20-7.45(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; m / z 458$
|| 2,4-Dimethoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal was prepared by treatment of 2,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde ( $1.62 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with methyl orthoformate ( $1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ammonium chloride ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28$ mmol ) in refluxing methanol for 72 h , followed by work-up and distillation under reduced pressure ( $1.29 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%$ ).
$\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right), 402,356,320,264,220$ and 166 (Found: C, 65.8; H, 7.1; N, 3.1. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{7}$ : C, $\left.65.62 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.83 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.06\right)$. The $2 R, 3 S$-isomer $6 \mathbf{b}$, prepared analogously ( $91 \%$ yield), showed identical properties except for the sign of the optical rotation.

## 3-tert-Butoxycarbonyl-2-(2,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-4-phenyloxazolidine-5-carboxylic acid 7

Compound $6 \mathbf{a}(1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 2.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $\left(62 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was stirred with lithium hydroxide monohydrate ( $203 \mathrm{mg}, 4.83$ mmol ) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon for 72 h . The reaction mixture was then processed with dichloromethane in the usual manner to afford the acid $7 \mathrm{a}\left(1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%\right.$ ) as a white solid, mp 94-104 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-21\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; v_{\max }($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3070,3030,3000$, 2980, 2940, 2890, 1705, 1610, 1590, 1510, 1455, 1440, 1390, $1370,1300,1260,1210,1155,1120,1030,940,835,735$ and 700 ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ major diastereoisomer: $1.06(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.89$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.51$ and $6.77(1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}), 6.46-6.52$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 7.18-7.23 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) and 7.23-7.44 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ); minor diastereoisomer: $1.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.23(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.89(3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.46-6.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $7.20-$ $7.44(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; m / z 444\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right), 400,344,306,206,182,167,151$ and 106 (Found: C, $65.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.65$; N, 3.2. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{7}$ : C, $65.00 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.59 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.06$ ). The $2 R, 3 S$-isomer 7 bb , prepared analogously ( $93 \%$ yield), showed identical properties except for the sign of the optical rotation.

## (2'S,3'R)-7,10-Bis(trichloroethoxycarbonyl)-2'-methyl derivative of docetaxel 9a

Di-2-pyridyl carbonate ( $254 \mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion to a solution of the acid $7 \mathbf{7 a}(520 \mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene ( $11.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After being stirred for 5 min , the reaction mixture was treated with dimethylaminopyridine ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the 7, 10 -bis(trichloroethoxycarbonyl) derivative of 10 -deacetylbaccatin III $^{6}(175 \mathrm{mg}, 0.196$ mmol ) and then heated at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 96 h . The crude product was isolated with ethyl acetate in the usual way and purified by silica-gel chromatography with $2 \%$ ether in dichloromethane as eluent to give the ester $\mathbf{8 a}(186 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%)$ as a white solid; $v_{\max }($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3480,3050,2960,2940,2900,2860,1760,1730$, $1710,1615,1590,1510,1470,1450,1430,1370,1250,1220$, $1210,1180,1150,1110,1090,1070,1030,970,940,820,770,720$ and $700 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ ( 2 diastereoisomers, $2: 1$ ) major diastereoisomer: $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $1.26(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.36(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.85(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $2.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.02-2.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.25-2.62(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.40(3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.9), 3.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J 6.4), 4.29\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J_{\mathrm{AB}} 8.4, \delta_{\mathrm{A}}-\delta_{\mathrm{B}} 75.5\right), 4.74(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{AB}} 11.7, \delta_{\mathrm{A}}-\delta_{\mathrm{B}} 94.3\right), 4.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 9), 5.52-5.59$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.9), 6.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.28(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.28-6.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.47-6.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.20-7.51(8 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m})$, 7.63-7.68 (1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $8.14-8.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; minor diastereoisomer (principal resonances): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.09(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.15(3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.94-2.08(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), 2.34-2.44 (2 H, m), 2.50-2.64 (1 H, m), $3.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.98$ ( 3 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.22\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, deformed ABq), $4.71\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J_{\mathrm{AB}} 12, \delta_{\mathrm{A}}-\right.$ $\left.\delta_{\mathrm{B}} 95.2\right), 4.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 9), 5.40-5.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 5.63-5.67 (1 H, m), $5.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, 6.38-6.59 (2 H, m), $6.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.07-7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.24-7.70$ ( $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) and 8.12-8.15 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ).

The ester $8 \mathbf{a}(130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred in formic acid $\left(1.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon for 72 h . The reaction mixture was processed with ethyl acetate in the usual way and the crude product was purified by dry silica gel chromatography with $0.4 \%$ methanol in dichloromethane to give the free amine derivative ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ). The material ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( $3.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) under argon was treated with triethylamine $\left(54 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After being stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for

13 h , the mixture was worked-up with dichloromethane in the normal way and the crude product purified by dry silica gel chromatography with $0.2 \%$ methanol in dichloromethane as eluent to afford compound $9 \mathrm{a}\left(27 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%\right.$ ): $v_{\text {max }}$ (neat) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $3400,3050,2950,2900,2860,1760,1720,1600,1580,1490$, $1450,1430,1370,1245,1160,1110,1090,1060,1020,1000,970$, $960,820,770,720$ and $700 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{I} .22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.26(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.30(3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.06-2.42(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.53(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.45-2.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7), 4.26(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{ABq}, J_{\mathrm{AB}} 8.3, \delta_{\mathrm{A}}-\delta_{\mathrm{B}} 36\right), 4.72\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J_{\mathrm{AB}} 11.8, \delta_{\mathrm{A}}-\delta_{\mathrm{B}}\right.$ $1.1), 4.74\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J_{\mathrm{AB}} 11.8, \delta_{\mathrm{A}}-\delta_{\mathrm{B}} 61.4\right), 5.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ $7.2,10.5), 5.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7), 6.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 9.0)$, 7.28-7.46 (5 H, m), 7.50-7.57 (2 H, m), 7.62-7.69 (1 H, m) and 8.07-8. 12 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ); $m / z 1172\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 1116,1082,1072,1054,922$, 904,878 and 862 .

## (2'R,3'S)-7,10-Bis(trichloroethoxycarbonyl)-2'-methyl derivative of docetaxel 9b

Di-2-pyridyl carbonate ( $139.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion to a solution of acid $7 \mathrm{~b}(260 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene $\left(5.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After being stirred for 5 min , the reaction mixture was treated with dimethylaminopyridine ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the 7,10-bis(trichloroethoxycarbonyl) derivative of 10 -deacetylbaccatin III ${ }^{6}(87.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and then heated at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 96 h . The crude product was isolated with ethyl acetate in the usual way and purified by silica gel chromatography with $2 \%$ ether in dichloromethane to give the ester $\mathbf{8 b}$ ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ) as a white solid, mp $171-177^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $v_{\max }($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3400,2970,1760,1725,1710,1640,1615,1590$, $1510,1455,1375,1250,1210,1160,1150,1110,1095,1065$, 1030,825 and $775 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.22(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.05-2.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.19-2.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.25(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $2.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.58-2.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.01(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $4.01-4.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.25\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J_{\mathrm{AB}} 8.5, \delta_{\mathrm{A}}-\delta_{\mathrm{B}} 76.8\right), 4.77$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J_{\mathrm{AB}} 11.8, \delta_{\mathrm{A}}-\delta_{\mathrm{B}} 110.4\right), 4.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ 8.2), $5.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.68-5.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J$ 9), $6.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.48-6.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.26-7.65(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and 8.05-8.07 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) (Found: C, 53.5; H, 5.1; N, 1.15. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{65} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{20}$ : C, 53.65; H, 4.96; N, 1.06).
The ester $\mathbf{8 b}$ ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( $7 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) under argon was treated with camphorsulfonic acid ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03$ mmol). After being stirred for 96 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was worked-up with dichloromethane in the normal way and the crude product purified by preparative thin-layer silica-gel chromatography with $4 \%$ ether in dichloromethane as eluent to afford recovered $\mathbf{8 b}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \%)$ and the ester 9 b ( $44.5 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ), mp $170-173^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-37\left(c 0.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); $v_{\max }$ (neat) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{1} 3445,2975,1760,1725,1600,1495,1450,1375$, $1250,1170,1110,1065,1000,975,720$ and $710 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.19$ (3 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.23(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.88$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.04-2.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.23-2.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.57-2.65(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.64(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7), 4.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{AB}} 8.5, \delta_{\mathrm{A}}-\delta_{\mathrm{B}} 60.6\right), 4.74\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J_{\mathrm{AB}} 11.8, \delta_{\mathrm{A}}-\delta_{\mathrm{B}} 124.2\right)$, $4.77\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J_{\mathrm{AB}} 11.9, \delta_{\mathrm{A}}-\delta_{\mathrm{B}} 4.8\right), 4.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.9), 5.02$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 10.2$ ), $5.47-5.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 10.2), 5.69(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7), 6.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 9.4), 7.24-7.40(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 7.47-7.51 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 7.58-7.62 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) and 8.11-8.13 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ); $m / z 1173\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right.$), $1117,1072,977$ and 880 (Found: C, 51.0; H, 5.1; N, 1.1. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{18}: \mathrm{C}, 51.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.90$; N , 1.19).

## ( $2^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R$ )-2'-Methyl derivative of docetaxel 1

A solution of the ester $9 \mathrm{a}(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetic acidmethanol $\left(1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} ; 14 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was treated with a zinc-copper couple ( 600 mg ). After being vigorously stirred for 30 min , the mixture was allowed to cool to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was then diluted with dichloromethane. The mixture was then filtered through Celite and the crude product isolated with
dichloromethane in the usual way and purified by silica-gel chromatography with $3 \%$ ether in dichloromethane as eluent to afford the docetaxel analogue $1(68 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ); $v_{\text {max }}$ (neat) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3400,2970,2920,2890,2850,1735,1720,1700$, $1600,1580,1490,1450,1365,1310,1270,1240,1165,1130$, $1120,1090,1065,1020,980,945,910,775,730$ and $700 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.13$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), $1.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.33(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.36(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $2.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.75-\mathrm{l} .88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.04-2.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.18(3 \mathrm{H}$, br s), 2.48-2.63 (1 H, m), $3.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 3.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7), 4.23$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J_{\mathrm{AB}} 8.5, \delta_{\mathrm{A}}-\delta_{\mathrm{B}} 32.3\right), 4.15-4.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.18(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s), 4.91 ( 1 H , deformed d, $J 8$ ), $4.83-4.97$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 5.19 ( 1 H , s), $5.56-5.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7), 6.25(1 \mathrm{H}$, deformed t), 7.31-7.34 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 7.45-7.51 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 7.59-7.64 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) and 8.03-8.06 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ); m/z $821\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 704,668,543$ and 339.

## ( $\mathbf{2}^{\prime} R, \mathbf{3}^{\prime} S$ )- $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$-Methyl derivative of docetaxel 2

A solution of the ester $9 \mathbf{b b}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetic acidmethanol ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} ; 4.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was treated with a zinc-copper couple ( 196 mg ). After being vigorously stirred for 30 min , the mixture was allowed to cool to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was then diluted with dichloromethane. The crude product was then isolated with dichloromethane in the usual way and purified by preparative thin-layer silica-gel chromatography with $6 \%$ methanol in dichloromethane as eluent to afford the docetaxel derivative 2 ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ): mp $183-186^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}$ -46 (c $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $v_{\text {max }}($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3440,3065,2975,2930$, $1710,1600,1585,1495,1455,1370,1315,1270,1245,1165$, $1135,1070,1020,985$ and $710 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.24(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.29$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), $1.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.78(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.75-1.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.17-2.42$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.55-2.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.62(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.93(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.0), 4.10-4.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 4.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}$, $J_{\mathrm{AB}} 8.6, \delta_{\mathrm{A}}-\delta_{\mathrm{B}} 36.3$ ), $4.92-5.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.55(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 10.0), 5.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.0), 6.34(1 \mathrm{H}$, deformed t ), $7.22-$ $7.62(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $8.12-8.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; m / z 822\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$and 527 [Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$(FAB), 822.3730. $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{NO}_{14}$ requires $M$, 822.3701].
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[^0]:    + We had earlier found that the $\mathrm{NbCl}_{3}$-promoted coupling reaction between $N$-benzylidenebenzylamine and ethyl glyoxylate provided syn-selectively (syn:anti, $3.3: 1$ ) the expected vicinal amino alcohol. Interestingly, with $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)benzylideneamine, the syn: anti ratio fell to $1: 1$ (unpublished results).

